UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,063	09/17/2003	Vernon Eric Staton	40575-193069	3255	
26694 VENABLE LL	7590 03/27/200 P	7	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3438	85 .	•	BERGIN, JAMES S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/27/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,063	STATON, VERNON ERIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	James S. Bergin	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		***	ldress			
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/18	3/2006 & 1/29/2007 .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9,14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/664,063

Art Unit: 3641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1-5 and 10-13, and species C (the embodiment depicted in Fig. 4); in the reply filed on 1/29/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the search for both groups can be conducted without serious burden on the examiner". This is not found persuasive because the search required for Group I is substantially and significantly different to that required for Group II. Attention is drawn to the different classifications for group I and II as indicated in the Restriction mailed 1/5/2007. A different invention specific field of search would be required for each inventive group, with each field of search requiring the employment of invention specific search queries in a text search across USPAT, USPGPUB, DERWENTS Abstract, EPO Abstract, JPO abstract and potentially other prior art databases, to determine patentability of the elected invention. To conduct such a search for both of the inventions would place a serious burden on the examiner given the time constraints inherent in the examination process.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 6-9 and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group II invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/29/2007.

Art Unit: 3641

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sturman (US 3,313,567).

Regarding claim 1, Sturman discloses a shock protection system for protecting an asset from a shock force, the system comprising: a shaft (15); a plurality of plates (19, 20) mounted on the shaft (15); a panel (12) attached to a first plate (via bracket 25) of the plurality of plates (19, 20) and for receiving the shock force and transmitting a portion of the shock force to the first plate; and a base (13, 11) attached to a second plate of the plurality of the plates and for receiving a portion of the shock force transmitted to the first plate by the panel, wherein the shock force received by the base is less than the shock force.

Sturman's shock absorbing device still properly anticipates the applicant's claimed invention as amended on 10/18/2006. If an explosive device, such as a roadside improvised explosive device (IED), were detonated in front of Sturman bumper, it is inherent that the force transmitted to or received by the base 13, 11 of Sturman's device would be less than the explosive force generated by the IED.

With respect to claim 2, wherein the plates have a concave side and a convex side (19, 20) and (col. 2, lines 45-58).

Art Unit: 3641

With respect to claim 3, wherein the plates are disks (19, 20) and (col. 2, lines 45-58).

With respect to claim 4, wherein the plates are Belleville springs (19, 20) and (col. 2, lines 45-58).

With respect to claims 10 and 11, a majority of the plates (19) slide on the shaft (15) when shock force is transmitted to the first plate (fig 4).

With respect to claim 12, the plates are pre-stressed against each other (fig 4).

With respect to claims 13, the panel is sized and shaped to deflect substantially all of the shock force through the system.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sturman's shock absorbing device still properly anticipates the applicant's claimed invention as amended on 10/18/2006. If an explosive device, such as a roadside improvised explosive device (IED), were detonated in front of Sturman bumper, it is inherent that the force transmitted to or received by the base 13, 11 of Sturman's device would be less than the explosive force generated by the IED.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3641

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 571-272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3641

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James S. Bergin

Page 6